Linear Codes from Some 2-Designs

Cunsheng Ding

arXiv:1503.06511v1 [cs.IT] 23 Mar 2015

Abstract

A classical method of constructing a linear code over GF(q) with a t-design is to use the incidence matrix of the t-design as a generator matrix over GF(q) of the code. This approach has been extensively investigated in the literature. In this paper, a different method of constructing linear codes using specific classes of 2-designs is studied, and linear codes with a few weights are obtained from almost difference sets, difference sets, and a type of 2-designs associated to semibent functions. Two families of the codes obtained in this paper are optimal. The linear codes presented in this paper have applications in secret sharing and authentication schemes, in addition to their applications in consumer electronics, communication and data storage systems. A coding-theory approach to the characterisation of highly nonlinear Boolean functions is presented.

Index Terms

Almost bent functions, almost difference sets, bent functions, difference sets, linear codes, semibent functions, t-designs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let p be an odd prime and let $q = p^m$ for some positive integer m. An $[n, \kappa, d]$ code $\mathcal{C}$ over GF(p) is a κ-dimensional subspace of GF$(p)^n$ with minimum (Hamming) distance d. Let A_i denote the number of codewords with Hamming weight i in a code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n. The *weight enumerator* of $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by $1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \cdots + A_n z^n$. A code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be a t-weight code if the number of nonzero A_i in the sequence $(A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n)$ is equal to t.

A *finite incidence structure*, denoted by $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$, consists of two disjoint finite sets $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, and a subset I of $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}$. The members of $\mathcal{P}$ are called *points* and are normally denoted by lower-case Roman letters; the members of $\mathcal{B}$ are referred to as *blocks* and are normally denoted by upper-case Roman letters. If the ordered pair (p, B) is in $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}$, we say that p is *incident* with B, or B contains the point p, or that p is on B.

An incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$ is called a t-(n, k, λ) *design*, or simply a t-*design*, where t, n, k and λ are nonnegative integers, if

1) $|\mathcal{P}| = n$;
2) every block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is incident with precisely k points;
3) every t distinct points are together incident with precisely λ blocks.

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be an incidence structure with $n \geq 1$ points and $b \geq 1$ blocks. The points of $\mathcal{P}$ are usually indexed with $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n$, and the blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ are normally denoted by $B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_b$. The *incidence matrix* $M_{\mathcal{D}} = (m_{ij})$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is a $b \times n$ matrix where $m_{ij} = 1$ if p_j is on B_i and $m_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. It is clear that the incidence matrix $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ depends on the labeling of the points and blocks of $\mathcal{D}$, but is unique up to row and column permutations. Conversely, every (0, 1)-matrix (entries are 0 or 1) determines an incidence structure. Our definition of the incidence matrix follows reference [2][p.12]. In some other references, the transpose of the matrix $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ above is defined as the incidence matrix. When $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ is viewed as a matrix over GF(p), it spans a linear code of length n over GF(p), denoted by $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{D})$ and called the linear code of the incidence structure. With this framework of construction, every t-design yields a linear code over GF(p). This approach to the construction of linear codes with t-designs has been extensively studied.

The research of C. Ding was supported by The Research Grants Council of Hong Kong, under Grant No. 16301114.

C. Ding is with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Email: cding@ust.hk

The objective of this paper is to study a different method of constructing linear codes using certain special types of 2-designs. In this paper, one-weight, two-weight and three-weight linear codes are obtained from almost difference sets, difference sets and a type of 2-designs associated with semibent functions. Two families of the linear codes presented in this paper are optimal. The linear codes with a few weights presented in this paper have applications in secret sharing [1] and authentication codes [21], in addition to their applications in consumer electronics, communication and data storage systems. A coding-theory approach to the characterisation of highly nonlinear Boolean functions is presented in this paper.

II. MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATIONS

A. *Almost difference sets and difference sets*

For convenience later, we define the *difference function* of a subset D of $(A,+)$ as

$$\mathrm{diff}_D(x) = |D \cap (D+x)|, \tag{1}$$

where $D+x = \{y+x : y \in D\}$.

A subset D of size k in an abelian group $(A,+)$ with order v is called a (v,k,λ) *difference set* in $(A,+)$ if the difference function $\mathrm{diff}_D(x) = \lambda$ for every nonzero $x \in A$. A difference set D in $(A,+)$ is called *cyclic* if the abelian group A is so.

If D is a (v,k,λ) difference set in $(A,+)$, its *complement*, $D^{\mathrm{c}} = A \setminus D$, is a $(v, v-k, v-2k+\lambda)$ difference set in $(A,+)$.

Let D be a (v,k,λ) difference set in an abelian group $(A,+)$. We associate D with an incidence structure $\mathcal{D}$, called the *development* of D, by defining $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of the elements in A,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{a+D : a \in A\},$$

and the incidence I is the membership of sets. Each block $a+D = \{a+x : x \in D\}$ is called a *translate* of D. The development $\mathcal{D}$ of a difference set D is called a *difference set design* and also the *translate design* of D.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the development of a (v,k,λ) difference set D in a group A. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a 2-(v,k,λ) design [2, Theorem 4.4.1]. Every difference set design defines a linear code $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{D})$ automatically, which was introduced in Section I.

Let $(A,+)$ be an abelian group of order v. A k-subset D of A is a (v,k,λ,t) *almost difference set* of A if the difference function $\mathrm{diff}_D(x)$ takes on λ altogether t times and $\lambda+1$ altogether $v-1-t$ times when x ranges over all the nonzero elements of A. In the sequel, we will employ some almost difference sets to construct linear codes with only a few weights.

B. *Group characters in* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$

An *additive character* of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ is a nonzero function χ from $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ to the set of nonzero complex numbers such that $\chi(x+y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ for any pair $(x,y) \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^2$. For each $b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$, the function

$$\chi_b(c) = \varepsilon_p^{\mathrm{Tr}(bc)} \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathrm{GF}(q) \tag{2}$$

defines an additive character of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, where and whereafter $\varepsilon_p = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$ is a primitive complex pth root of unity. When $b=0$, $\chi_0(c) = 1$ for all $c \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$, and is called the *trivial additive character* of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. The character χ_1 in (2) is called the *canonical additive character* of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. It is known that every additive character of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ can be written as $\chi_b(x) = \chi_1(bx)$ [35, Theorem 5.7].

III. A GENERIC CONSTRUCTION OF LINEAR CODES

A. *The description of the linear codes*

Let $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\} \subseteq \mathrm{GF}(q)$, where again $q = p^m$. Let Tr denote the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ onto $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ throughout this paper. We define a linear code of length n over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ by

$$\mathcal{C}_D = \{(\mathrm{Tr}(xd_1), \mathrm{Tr}(xd_2), \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}(xd_n)) : x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)\}, \tag{3}$$

and call D the *defining set* of this code $\mathcal{C}_D$. By definition, the dimension of the code $\mathcal{C}_D$ is at most m.

This construction is generic in the sense that many classes of known codes could be produced by selecting the defining set $D \subseteq \mathrm{GF}(q)$. This construction technique was employed in [20] and [19] for obtaining linear codes with a few weights. The objective of this paper is to construct linear codes $\mathcal{C}_D$ using almost difference sets D and difference sets D as well as a few classes of 2-designs defined later. If the set D is well chosen, the code $\mathcal{C}_D$ may have good or optimal parameters. Otherwise, the code $\mathcal{C}_D$ could have bad parameters.

B. *The weights in the linear codes $\mathcal{C}_D$*

It is convenient to define for each $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$,

$$\mathbf{c}_x = (\mathrm{Tr}(xd_1), \mathrm{Tr}(xd_2), \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}(xd_n)). \tag{4}$$

The Hamming weight $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{c}_x)$ of $\mathbf{c}_x$ is $n - N_x(0)$, where

$$N_x(0) = |\{1 \le i \le n : \mathrm{Tr}(xd_i) = 0\}|$$

for each $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$.

It is easily seen that for any $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\} \subseteq \mathrm{GF}(q)$ we have

$$pN_x(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}y\mathrm{Tr}(xd_i)/p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)} \chi_1(yxd_i) = n + \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \chi_1(yxD)$$

where χ_1 is the canonical additive character of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, aD denotes the set $\{ad : d \in D\}$, and $\chi_1(S) := \sum_{x \in S} \chi_1(x)$ for any subset S of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{c}_x) = n - N_x(0) = \frac{(p-1)n - \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \chi_1(yxD)}{p}. \tag{5}$$

IV. LINEAR CODES FROM SKEW SETS

A subset D of $\mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ is called a skew set of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ if D, $-D$ and $\{0\}$ form a partition of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$.

Theorem 1. *Let D be any skew set of* $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. *Then $\mathcal{C}_D$ is a one-weight code over* $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ *with parameters* $[(q-1)/2, m, (p-1)q/2p]$.

Proof: Let D be a skew set of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. By definition $|D| = (q-1)/2$ and xD is also a skew set of $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ for every $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. For any $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$, it follows from (5) that

$$\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{c}_x) = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)/2 - \sum_{y=1}^{(p-1)/2} \chi_1(yxD \cup (-yxD))}{p} = \frac{(p-1)q}{2p}.$$

The desired conclusions then follow. ■

We remark that the code of Theorem 1 is optimal as it meets the Griesmer bound.

A skew Hadamard difference set in $(\mathrm{GF}(q), +)$ is both a difference set and a skew set. By definition, any skew Hadamard difference set in $(\mathrm{GF}(q), +)$ must have parameters $(q, (q-1)/2, (q-3)/4)$, where $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. According to Theorem 1, any skew Hadamard difference set in $(\mathrm{GF}(q), +)$ gives a one-weight code over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$.

The first skew Hadamard difference set was the Paley set D, which is set of all nonzero squares in $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, where $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Recently, many new constructions of skew Hadamard difference sets have been discovered. For detailed information, the reader is referred to [23], [22], [27], [45], and [47].

V. LINEAR CODES FROM THE IMAGES OF FUNCTIONS f ON $\mathrm{GF}(q)$

Let $f(x)$ be a function from $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. We define

$$D(f) := \{f(x) : x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

In this section, we consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$. In general, it is difficult to determine the length $n_f := |D(f)|$ of this code, not to mention its weight distribution. However, in certain special cases, the parameters and the weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ can be settled.

A. *The codes $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ from quadratic functions over $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)$ for odd p*

Throughout this section, let $q = p^m$ be odd. A polynomial f over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} a_{i,j} x^{p^i + p^j}$$

is called a *quadratic form* over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$, where $a_{i,j} \in \mathrm{GF}(q)$, and I and J are subsets of $\{0,1,2,\ldots,m-1\}$.

Note that $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ is a vector space of dimension m over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$. The rank of the quadratic form f over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ is defined to be the codimension of the $\mathrm{GF}(p)$-vector space

$$V_f = \{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q) : f(x+z) - f(x) - f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathrm{GF}(q)\}.$$

That is $|V_f| = p^{m-r}$, where r denotes the rank of f.

It is still very difficult to determine the length n_f of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ for general quadratic forms f, let alone the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$. However, under certain conditions the weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ can be worked out. To this end, we need the following lemma [52].

Lemma 2. *Let f be a quadratic form of rank r over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. If r is even, then*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)} \chi_1(yf(x)) = \pm(p-1)p^{m-\frac{r}{2}}.$$

If r is odd, then

$$\sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)} \chi_1(yf(x)) = 0.$$

We are now ready to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let f be a quadratic form of rank r over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ such that*

- *$f(0) = 0$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$; and*
- *f is e-to-1 on $\mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ (i.e. $f(x) = u$ has either e solutions $x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$ or no solution for each $u \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$), where e is a positive integer.*

If r is odd, then $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ is a one-weight code over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ with parameters $[(q-1)/e, m, (p-1)q/ep]$.

If r is even, then $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ is a two-weight code over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ with parameters $[(q-1)/e, m, (p-1)(q-p^{m-r/2})/ep]$ and weight enumerator

$$1 + \frac{q-1}{2} z^{(p-1)(q-p^{m-r/2})/ep} + \frac{q-1}{2} z^{(p-1)(q+p^{m-r/2})/ep}. \tag{6}$$

Proof: Since f is e-to-1 in $\mathrm{GF}(q)^*$, we have that $n_f = |D(f)| = (q-1)/e$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)} \chi_1(yf(x)) &= \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \left(1 + \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*} \chi_1(yf(x))\right) \\
&= p - 1 + \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*} \chi_1(yf(x)) \\
&= p - 1 + e \sum_{y \in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \chi_1(yD(f)).
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*} \chi_1(yD(f)) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(p-1)(1\pm p^{m-r/2})}{e} & \text{if } r \text{ even} \\ -\frac{p-1}{e} & \text{if } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that uf is also a quadratic form over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ and satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3 for every $u \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. It then follows from (5) that the Hamming weight of the codeword $\mathbf{c}_u$ is given by

$$\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{c}_u) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)(q\pm p^{m-r/2})}{ep} & \text{if } r \text{ even} \\ \frac{(p-1)q}{ep} & \text{if } r \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $u \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$. Hence, the dimension of the code is m, as $\mathbf{c}_u > 0$ for each $u \in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*$.

If r is odd, the code is a one-weight code with the nonzero weight $(p-1)q/ep$. If r is even, the code has the following nonzero weights

$$w_i = \frac{(p-1)(q+(-1)^i p^{m-r/2})}{ep}$$

for $i \in \{1,2\}$. Since $0 \notin D(f)$, the minimum distance of the dual code $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}^{\perp}$ is at least 2. The first two Pless Power Moments [31, p.260] lead to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} A_{w_1} + A_{w_2} &= p^m - 1, \\ w_1 A_{w_1} + w_2 A_{w_2} &= (p-1)p^{m-1} n_f. \end{cases}$$

Solving this system of equations gives the desired weight distribution for the case r being even. This completes the proof. ■

Let D be the set of all nonzero squares in $\mathrm{GF}(q)$. It is well known that D is a $(q,(q-1)/2,(q-3)/2)$ difference set in $(\mathrm{GF}(q),+)$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, and an almost difference set with parameters $(q,(q-1)/2,(q-5)/4,(q-1)/2)$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

As an special case of Theorem 3, we have the following.

Corollary 4. *Let D be the set of all quadratic residues in $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)^*$. If m is odd, then $\mathcal{C}_D$ is a one-weight code over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ with parameters $[(q-1)/2, m, (p-1)q/2p]$.*

If m is even, then $\mathcal{C}_D$ is a two-weight code over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ with parameters $[(q-1)/2, m, (p-1)(q-\sqrt{q})/2p]$ and weight enumerator

$$1 + \frac{q-1}{2} z^{(p-1)(q-\sqrt{q})/2p} + \frac{q-1}{2} z^{(p-1)(q+\sqrt{q})/2p}. \tag{7}$$

Proof: Note that $f(x) = x^2$ is a quadratic form of rank m over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3, with $e = 2$. The desired conclusions follow from Theorem 3. ■

To obtain more classes of one-weight and two-weight codes from Theorem 3, we need to find quadratic forms f over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3. Below are a few more examples.

Example 1. *$f(x) = x^{p^\ell+1}$ is a quadratic form over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3, where $e = \gcd(q-1, p^\ell+1)$.*

Example 2. *$f(x) = x^{10} - ux^6 - u^2x^2$ is a quadratic form over $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3, where $u \in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)$, m is odd, and $e = 2$.*

B. *The codes $\mathcal{C}_{D(f)}$ from the images of some quadratic functions on* $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$

An *h-arc* in the projective plane $\mathrm{PG}(2,q)$, with q a prime power, is a set of h-points such that no three of them are collinear. The maximum value for h is $q+1$ if q is odd, and $q+2$ if q is even. If q is odd, $(q+1)$-arcs are called *ovals*. If q is even, $(q+2)$-arcs are called *hyperovals*.

In 1998, Maschietti discovered a connection between hyperoval sets and difference sets and proved the following result [38].

Theorem 5. *Let m be odd and let $n=2^m-1$. Then*

$$D_\rho := \{x^\rho + x : x \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)\} \setminus \{0\}$$

is a difference set with Singer parameters $(2^m-1, 2^{m-1}-1, 2^{m-2}-1)$ in $(\mathrm{GF}(2^m)^*, \times)$ if $x \mapsto x^\rho$ is a permutation on $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ and the mapping $\Gamma_\rho : x \mapsto x^\rho + x$ is two-to-one on $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$.

In particular, the following ρ yields difference sets:

- $\rho = 2$ *(Singer case).*
- $\rho = 6$ *(Segre case).*
- $\rho = 2^\sigma + 2^\pi$ *with* $\sigma = (m+1)/2$ *and* $4\pi \equiv 1 \bmod m$ *(Glynn I case).*
- $\rho = 3 \cdot 2^\sigma + 4$ *with* $\sigma = (m+1)/2$ *(Glynn II case).*

In the rest of this section, let m be odd. Let $\rho = 2^i + 2^j$, where i and j are nonnegative integers such that $0 \le i < j < m$. Let $\kappa = j - i$. Define

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Gamma_\rho) = \{\Gamma_\rho(x) : x \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)\}.$$

We now study the code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ when $\rho = 2^i + 2^j$ and the mapping $\Gamma_\rho(x) = x^\rho + x$ satisfies certain conditions. To this end, we define the following Boolean function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \in \mathrm{Im}(\Gamma_\rho), \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

The following lemma is proved in [50].

Lemma 6. *Let $\rho = 2^i + 2^j$. If Γ_ρ is two-to-one on $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ and $\gcd(2^\kappa+1, 2^m-1) = 1$, where $\kappa = j - i$, then*

$$\hat{f}(b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } b \ne 0,\ \mathrm{Tr}(b^\ell) = 0, \\ \pm 2^{(m+1)/2} & \text{if } b \ne 0,\ \mathrm{Tr}(b^\ell) = 1, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where $\hat{f}$ denotes the Walsh transform of f and

$$\ell = \frac{2^i + 2^j - 1}{2^\kappa + 1}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathrm{Tr}(b^\ell) = 1$,

$$\hat{f}(b) = (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(u + u^{2^\kappa+1})} \hat{f}(1),$$

where $b^\ell = 1 + u^{2^{j+\kappa}} + u^{2^{j-\kappa}}$.

Theorem 7. *Let $\rho = 2^i + 2^j$. If Γ_ρ is two-to-one on $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ and $\gcd(2^\kappa+1, 2^m-1) = 1$, where $\kappa = j - i$, then the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ has parameters $[2^{m-1}-1, m, 2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-3)/2}]$ and the weight distribution of Table I.*

Proof: Let $n = 2^{m-1} - 1$. Let $b \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)^*$ and $\mathbf{c}_b$ be the codeword of (4) in $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$. We now determine the Hamming weight of $\mathbf{c}_b$.

TABLE I
THE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION OF THE CODES OF THEOREM 7

Weight w	Multiplicity A_w
0	1
$2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}$	$2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2}$
2^{m-2}	$2^{m-1}-1$
$2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2}$	$2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2}$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(b) &= \sum_{x\in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)} (-1)^{f(x)+\mathrm{Tr}(bx)} \\ &= -\sum_{x\in \mathrm{Im}(\Gamma_\rho)} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(bx)} + \sum_{x\in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)\setminus \mathrm{Im}(\Gamma_\rho)} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(bx)} \\ &= -2\sum_{x\in \mathrm{Im}(\Gamma_\rho)} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(bx)} \\ &= -2\left(\sum_{x\in D(\Gamma_\rho)} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(bx)} + 1\right)\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 6 that

$$\chi_b(D(\Gamma_\rho)) \in \{-1, \pm 2^{(m-1)/2}-1\}.$$

The desired conclusions on the weights and the dimension of this code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ follow from (5).

It is easily seen that the minimum weight of the dual code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}^{\perp}$ is at least 3. Define

$$w_1 = 2^{m-2}-2^{(m-3)/2},\ w_2 = 2^{m-2},\ w_3 = 2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3)/2}.$$

We now determine the number A_{w_i} of codewords with weight w_i in $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$. The first three Pless Power Moments [31, p.260] lead to the following system of equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} A_{w_1}+A_{w_2}+A_{w_3} &=& 2^m-1, \\ w_1A_{w_1}+w_2A_{w_2}+w_3A_{w_3} &=& n2^{m-1}, \\ w_1^2A_{w_1}+w_2^2A_{w_2}+w_3^2A_{w_3} &=& n(n+1)2^{m-2}. \end{array}\right. \tag{10}$$

Solving this system of equations gives the desired weight distribution. This completes the proof.

■

In the Segre case, we have $\rho = 2^1+2^2$, $(i, j) = (1,2)$, and $\kappa = 1$. Hence $\gcd(2^\kappa+1, 2^m-1) = 1$. It is known that Γ_ρ is two-to-one. Hence, all the conditions in Theorem 7 are satisfied. Thus, the difference set D_6 gives a class of bianry linear codes with three weights.

The following lemma can be easily proved.

Lemma 8. *Define*

$$\kappa = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} \frac{m+1}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod 4, \\ \frac{m-1}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod 4. \end{array}\right. \tag{11}$$

Then $\gcd(2^\kappa+1, 2^m-1) = 1$.

In the Glynn I case, $(i, j, \kappa) = ((m+1)/4, (m+1)/2, (m+1)/4)$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, and $(i, j, \kappa) = ((m+1)/2, (3m+1)/4, (m-1)/4)$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. In this case, we have $\gcd(2^\kappa+1, 2^m-1) = 1$ by Lemma 8. It is known that, in the Glynn I case, Γ_ρ is two-to-one. Hence, all the conditions in Theorem 7 are satisfied. Thus, the difference set $D_{2^i+2^j}$ gives a class of binary linear codes with three weights.

In the Glynn II case, $\rho = 3 \cdot 2^{\sigma} + 4$ and the mapping Γ_ρ is not quadratic. It looks hard to determine the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$. When $m = 5$, the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ in the Glynn II case has parameters $[15, 5, 6]$ and the weight enumerator $1 + 10z^6 + 15z^8 + 6z^{10}$. When $m = 7$, the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ in the Glynn II case has parameters $[63, 7, 28]$ and the weight enumerator $1 + 36z^{28} + 63z^{32} + 28z^{36}$. When $m \geq 9$, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let $\rho = 3 \cdot 2^{(m+1)/2} + 4$, where $m \geq 9$ and m is odd. Then the binary linear code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ in the Glynn II case has parameters $[2^{m-1} - 1, m, 2^{m-2} - 2^{(m-1)/2}]$ and has only the following five nonzero weights:*

$$2^{m-2}, 2^{m-2} \pm 2^{(m-1)/2}, 2^{m-2} \pm 2^{(m-3)/2}.$$

Example 3. *When $m = 9$, the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ in the Glynn II case has parameters $[255, 9, 112]$ and weight enumerator $1 + 9z^{112} + 108z^{120} + 285z^{128} + 108z^{136} + z^{144}$.*

Example 4. *When $m = 11$, the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ in the Glynn II case has parameters $[1023, 11, 480]$ and weight enumerator $1 + 22z^{480} + 440z^{496} + 1155z^{512} + 408z^{528} + 22z^{544}$.*

It would be nice if the weight distribution of the binary linear code $\mathcal{C}_{D(\Gamma_\rho)}$ in the Glynn II case can be determined.

VI. LINEAR CODES FROM THE PREIMAGE $f^{-1}(b)$ FOR FUNCTIONS f FROM $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)$ TO $\mathrm{GF}(p)$

Let f be a function from $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(p)$, and let D be any subset of the preimage $f^{-1}(b)$ for any $b \in \mathrm{GF}(p)$. In this section, we consider the code $\mathcal{C}_D$. Similarly, it is very hard to determine the parameters of this code in general. We shall deal with a few special cases in this section.

A. The Boolean case

Let f be a Boolean function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. The *support* of f is defined to be

$$D_f = \{x \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m) : f(x) = 1\} \subseteq \mathrm{GF}(2^m).$$

Recall that $n_f = |D_f|$.

The *Walsh transform* of f is defined by

$$\hat{f}(w) = \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)} (-1)^{f(x) + \mathrm{Tr}(wx)} \tag{12}$$

where $w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)$. The *Walsh spectrum* of f is the following multiset

$$\left\{\left\{ \hat{f}(w) : w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m) \right\}\right\}.$$

In this section, we investigate the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ with length n_f and dimension at most m, and will determine the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ for several classes of Boolean functions f whose supports D_f are certain 2-designs.

A function f from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is called *linear* if $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)^2$. A function f from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is called *affine* if f or $f - 1$ is affine.

The main result of this section is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 9. *Let symbols and notation be as above. If f is not an affine function, then $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is a binary linear code with length n_f and dimension m, and its weight distribution is given by the following multiset:*

$$\left\{\left\{ \frac{2n_f + \hat{f}(w)}{4} : w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)^* \right\}\right\} \cup \{\{0\}\}. \tag{13}$$

Proof: Note that all characters of the group $(\mathrm{GF}(2^m),+)$ are of the form

$$\chi_b(x) = (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(bx)},\ b \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m).$$

Let $w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)^*$. It follows from (12) that

$$\hat{f}(w) = -\sum_{x \in D_f} \chi_w(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)\setminus D_f} \chi_w(x) = -2\sum_{x \in D_f} \chi_w(x) = -2\chi_w(D_f).$$

It then follows from (5) that the Hamming weight of the codeword $\mathbf{c}_w$ of (4) is equal to $(2n_f + \hat{f}(w))/4$. Hence, the weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is given by the multiset in (13). Since f is not affine, $\mathbf{c}_w > 0$ for every nonzero $w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)$. Thus, the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is equal to n_f. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 9 establishes a connection between Boolean functions and a class of linear codes. The determination of the weight distribution of the binary linear code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is equivalent to that of the Walsh spectrum of the Boolean function f. When the Boolean function f is selected properly, the code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ has only a few weights and may have good parameters. We will demonstrate this in the remainder of this section.

1) Linear codes from bent functions: A function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is called *bent* if $|\hat{f}(w)| = 2^{m/2}$ for every $w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)$. Bent functions exist only for even m, and were coined by Rothaus in [46].

It is well known that a function f from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is bent if and only if D_f is a difference set in $(\mathrm{GF}(2^m),+)$ with the following parameters

$$(2^m, 2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2}, 2^{m-2} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2}). \tag{14}$$

Let f be bent. Then by definition $\hat{f}(0) = \pm 2^{m/2}$. It then follows that

$$n_f = |D_f| = 2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m-2)/2} \tag{15}$$

TABLE II

THE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION OF THE CODES OF COROLLARY 10

Weight w	Multiplicity A_w
0	1
$\frac{n_f}{2} - 2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}$	$\frac{2^m - 1 - n_f 2^{-\frac{m-2}{2}}}{2}$
$\frac{n_f}{2} + 2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}$	$\frac{2^m - 1 + n_f 2^{-\frac{m-2}{2}}}{2}$

As a corollary of Theorem 9, we have the following.

Corollary 10. *Let f be a bent function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ with $f(0) = 0$, where $m \geq 4$ and is even. Then $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is an $[n_f, m, (n_f - 2^{(m-2)/2})/2]$ two-weight binary code with the weight distribution in Table II, where n_f is defined in (15).*

Proof: By Theorem 9, the dimension of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is m as bent functions are not affine. It follows from the definition of bent functions and Theorem 9 that $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ has nonzero weights $(n_f - 2^{(m-2)/2})/2$ or $(n_f + 2^{(m-2)/2})/2$.

Let A_i denote the number of codewords with Hamming weight i in $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$. It is obvious that the dual code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}^{\perp}$ has minimum weight at least 2. The first two Pless Power Moments [31, p.260] lead to the following system of equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} 1 + A_{\frac{n_f}{2}+2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}} + A_{\frac{n_f}{2}-2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}} & = & 2^m, \\ \left(\frac{n_f}{2} + 2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}\right) A_{\frac{n_f}{2}+2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}} + \left(\frac{n_f}{2} - 2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}\right) A_{\frac{n_f}{2}-2^{\frac{m-4}{2}}} & = & n_f 2^{m-1}. \end{array}\right. \tag{16}$$

The desired weight distribution in Table II is obtained by solving (16). ■

Example 5. *Let* $m = 6$ *and let* f *be a bent function from* $\mathrm{GF}(2^6)$ *to* $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ *with* $|D_f| = 2^{6-1} - 2^{(6-2)/2} = 28$. *Then the code* $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ *has parameters* $[28, 6, 12]$ *and is optimal.*

Example 6. *Let* $m = 8$ *and let* f *be a bent function from* $\mathrm{GF}(2^8)$ *to* $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ *with* $|D_f| = 2^{8-1} - 2^{(8-2)/2} = 120$. *Then the code* $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ *has parameters* $[120, 8, 56]$, *while the optimal binary code has parameters* $[120, 8, 58]$.

There are many constructions of bent functions and thus Hadamard difference sets. We refer the reader to [3], [43], [44], the book chapter [9] and the references therein for details. Any bent function can be plugged into Corollary 10 to obtain a two-weight linear code.

2) Linear codes from semibent functions: Let m be odd. Then there is no bent Boolean function on $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$. A function f from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is called *semibent* if $\hat{f}(w) \in \{0, \pm 2^{(m+1)/2}\}$ for every $w \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)$.

Let f be a semibent function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. It then follows from the definition of semibent functions that

$$n_f = |D_f| \quad = \quad \begin{cases} 2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-1)/2} & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = 2^{(m+1)/2}, \\ 2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-1)/2} & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = -2^{(m+1)/2}, \\ 2^{m-1} & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

TABLE III

THE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION OF THE CODES OF COROLLARY 11

Weight w	Multiplicity A_w
0	1
$\frac{n_f - 2^{(m-1)/2}}{2}$	$n_f(2^m - n_f)2^{-m} - n_f 2^{-(m+1)/2}$
$\frac{n_f}{2}$	$2^m - 1 - n_f(2^m - n_f)2^{-(m-1)}$
$\frac{n_f + 2^{(m-1)/2}}{2}$	$n_f(2^m - n_f)2^{-m} + n_f 2^{-(m+1)/2}$

A semibent function f gives a 2-design. The reader is referred to [17] for details of the 2-design. We are interested in the coding theory aspect of semibent functions.

As a corollary of Theorem 9, we have the following.

Corollary 11. *Let* f *be a semibent function from* $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ *to* $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ *with* $f(0) = 0$, *where* m *is odd. Then* $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ *is an* $[n_f, m, (n_f - 2^{(m-1)/2})/2]$ *three-weight binary code with the weight distribution in Table III, where* n_f *is defined in (17).*

Proof: By Theorem 9, the dimension of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is m as semibent functions are not affine. It follows from the definition of semibent functions and Theorem 9 that $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ has nonzero weights:

$$w_1 = \frac{n_f - 2^{(m-1)/2}}{2}, \; w_2 = \frac{n_f}{2}, \; w_3 = \frac{n_f + 2^{(m-1)/2}}{2}.$$

We now determine the number A_{w_i} of codewords with weight w_i in $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$. It is straightforward to see that the minimum weight of the dual code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}^{\perp}$ is at least 3. The first three Pless Power Moments [31, p.260] lead to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} A_{w_1} + A_{w_2} + A_{w_3} &= 2^m - 1, \\ w_1 A_{w_1} + w_2 A_{w_2} + w_3 A_{w_3} &= n_f 2^{m-1}, \\ w_1^2 A_{w_1} + w_2^2 A_{w_2} + w_3^2 A_{w_3} &= n_f(n_f + 1)2^{m-2}. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Solving this system of equations gives the desired weight distribution. This completes the proof. ■

Example 7. *Let* $m = 7$ *and let* f *be a semibent function from* $\mathrm{GF}(2^7)$ *to* $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ *with* $|D_f| = 2^{7-1} - 2^{(7-1)/2} = 56$. *Then the code* $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ *has parameters* $[56, 7, 24]$, *while the optimal binary code has parameters* $[56, 7, 26]$.

There are a lot of constructions of semibent functions from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. We refer the reader to [12], [14], [24], [39], [40], [42] for detailed constructions. All semibent functions can be plugged into Corollary 11 to obtain three-weight binary linear codes.

3) Linear codes from almost bent functions: For any function g from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$, we define

$$\lambda_g(a,b) = \sum_{x\in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(ag(x)+bx)},\ a,b \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m).$$

A function g from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ is called *almost bent* if $\lambda_g(a,b) = 0$, or $\pm 2^{(m+1)/2}$ for every pair (a,b) with $a \neq 0$. By definition, almost bent functions over $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ exist only for odd m. Specific almost bent functions are available in [4], [9].

By definition, $\lambda_g(1,0) \in \{0, \pm 2^{(m+1)/2}\}$ for any almost bent function g on $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$. It is straightforward to deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 12. *For any almost bent function g from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$, define $f = \mathrm{Tr}(g)$. Then we have*

$$n_f = |D_{\mathrm{Tr}(g)}| \quad = \quad \begin{cases} 2^{m-1} + 2^{(m-1)/2} & \textit{if } \lambda_g(1,0) = -2^{(m+1)/2}, \\ 2^{m-1} - 2^{(m-1)/2} & \textit{if } \lambda_g(1,0) = 2^{(m+1)/2}, \\ 2^{m-1} & \textit{if } \lambda_g(1,0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

As a corollary of Theorem 9, we have the following.

Corollary 13. *Let g be an almost bent function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(g(0)) = 0$, where m is odd. Define $f = \mathrm{Tr}(g)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is an $[n_f, m, (n_f - 2^{(m-1)/2})/2]$ three-weight binary code with the weight distribution in Table III, where n_f is given in (19).*

Proof: By Theorem 9, the dimension of the code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is m as $f = \mathrm{Tr}(g)$ is not affine. It follows from the definition of almost bent functions and Theorem 9 that $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ has nonzero weights:

$$\frac{n_f - 2^{(m-1)/2}}{2},\ \frac{n_f}{2},\ \frac{n_f + 2^{(m-1)/2}}{2}.$$

It is easy to prove that the dual code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ has minimum weight at least 3. The frequencies of the three weights are already determined in the proof of Corollary 11. This completes the proof. ■

We remark that the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ of Corollarey 13 is different from the code from almost bent functions defined in [10], as the dimensions and lengths of the codes are different.

4) Linear codes from quadratic Boolean functions: Let

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{2^m/2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2\rfloor} f_i x^{2^i+1}\right) \tag{20}$$

be a quadratic Boolean function from $\mathrm{GF}(2^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$, where $f_i \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)$. Similarly, the rank of f, denoted by r_f, is defined to be the codimension of the $\mathrm{GF}(2)$-vector space

$$V_f = \{x \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m) : f(x+z) - f(x) - f(z) = 0\ \forall\ z \in \mathrm{GF}(2^m)\}.$$

The Walsh spectrum of f is known [8] and is given in Table IV.

TABLE IV
THE WALSH SPECTRUM OF QUADRATIC BOOLEAN FUNCTIONS

$\hat{f}(w)$	the number of w's
0	$2^m - 2^{r_f}$
$2^{m-r_f/2}$	$2^{r_f-1} + 2^{(r_f-2)/2}$
$-2^{m-r_f/2}$	$2^{r_f-1} - 2^{(r_f-2)/2}$

Let D_f be the support of f. By definition, we have

$$n_f = |D_f| = 2^{m-1} - \frac{\hat{f}(0)}{2} = \begin{cases} 2^{m-1} & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ 2^{m-1} - 2^{m-1-r_f/2} & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = 2^{m-1-r_f/2}, \\ 2^{m-1} + 2^{m-1-r_f/2} & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = -2^{m-1-r_f/2}. \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

The following theorem then follows from Theorem 9 and Table IV.

Theorem 14. *Let f be a quadratic Boolean function of the form in (20) and $f \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ is a binary code with length n_f given in (21), dimension m, and the weight distribution in Table V, where*

$$(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3) = \begin{cases} (1,0,0) & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = 0, \\ (0,1,0) & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = 2^{m-1-r_f/2}, \\ (0,0,1) & \text{if } \hat{f}(0) = -2^{m-1-r_f/2}. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

TABLE V

THE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION OF THE CODE $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ IN THEOREM 14

Weight w	A_w
0	1
$\frac{n_f}{2}$	$2^m - 2^{r_f} - \varepsilon_1$
$\frac{n_f + 2^{m-1-r_f/2}}{2}$	$2^{r_f - 1} + 2^{(r_f-2)/2} - \varepsilon_2$
$\frac{n_f - 2^{m-1-r_f/2}}{2}$	$2^{r_f - 1} - 2^{(r_f-2)/2} - \varepsilon_3$

Note that the code $\mathcal{C}_{D_f}$ in Theorem 14 defined by any quadratic Boolean function f is different from any subcode of the second-order Reed-muller code, due to the difference in their lengths. The weight distributions of the two codes are also different.

B. A ternary case

In this subsection, we analyse a class of ternary codes whose defining sets are a family of cyclic difference sets, which are described in the following theorem [29].

Theorem 15. *Let $m = 3h \geq 3$ for some positive integer h and $\ell = 3^{2h} - 3^h + 1$. Define $n = (3^m - 1)/2$ and*

$$D = \left\{ \alpha^t : \mathrm{Tr}_{3^m/3}(\alpha^t + \alpha^{t\ell}) = 0,\ 0 \leq t \leq n-1 \right\}, \tag{23}$$

where α is a generator of $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$. Then D is a difference set in $(\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*/\mathrm{GF}(2)^*, \times)$ with the following parameters

$$\left(\frac{3^m - 1}{3-1}, \frac{3^{m-1} - 1}{3-1}, \frac{3^{m-2} - 1}{3-1} \right). \tag{24}$$

Let $f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{3^m/3}(x + x^\ell)$, a function from $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(3)$. When h is odd, $\{D, -D, \{0\}\}$ forms a partition of the preimage $f^{-1}(0)$.

TABLE VI

THE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION OF THE CODES OF THEOREM 16

Weight w	Multiplicity A_w
0	1
$3^{3h-2} - 3^{2h-2}$	$3^{2h} + 3^h$
3^{3h-2}	$3^{3h} - 2 \times 3^{2h} - 1$
$3^{3h-2} + 3^{2h-2}$	$3^{2h} - 3^h$

Our main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 16. *Let h be an odd positive integer and let $m = 3h$. Let D be defined as in (23). Then the ternary code $\mathcal{C}_D$ has parameters*

$$\left[\frac{3^{3h-1}-1}{2}, 3h, 3^{3h-2}-3^{2h-2}\right]$$

and the weight distribution of Table VI.

We remark that the code $\mathcal{C}_D$ of Theorem 16 has more than three nonzero weights if h is even. To prove this theorem, we need to introduce the basics of quadratic forms and prove several lemmas first.

A *quadratic form* $f(X)$ in m variables over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathrm{GF}(p)[x_1,\ldots,x_m]$ of degree 2 and can be expressed as

$$f(X) = \sum_{1\le i\le j\le m} a_{i,j}x_ix_j, \quad a_{i,j}\in \mathrm{GF}(p),$$

where $X = (x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m)$. A quadratic form $f(X)$ in m variables over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ may also be expresses in the trace form

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{0\le i\le j\le m-1} x^{p^i+p^j}\right), \quad x\in \mathrm{GF}(p^m),$$

where Tr denotes the trace function from $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(p)$.

The *rank* r_f of a quadratic form $f(x)$ over $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)$ is defined as the codimension of the $\mathrm{GF}(p)$-vector space

$$V_f = \{x\in \mathrm{GF}(p^m) : f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y\in \mathrm{GF}(p^m)\},$$

i.e., $r_f = m-\dim(V_f)$.

We shall use the following lemma in the sequel [52].

Lemma 17. *Let $f(x)$ be a quadratic form of rank r_f over $\mathrm{GF}(p^m)$, and let $\varepsilon_p = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$. Then*

$$\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(p)^*}\sum_{x\in \mathrm{GF}(p^m)} \varepsilon_p^{yf(x)} = \begin{cases} \pm(p-1)p^{m-r_f/2} & \textit{if } r_f \textit{ is even,} \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Starting from now on, we put $p = 3$, $m = 3h$ and $e = 3^h$, where h is odd. We consider the following quadratic form

$$Q_u(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(ux^{e+1}+x^2)$$

over $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$. It is easily seen that

$$Q_u(y+z)-Q_u(y)-Q_u(z) = \mathrm{Tr}((u^{e^2}y^{e^2}+uy^e-y)z). \tag{25}$$

By definition, the rank $r_{Q_u} = m-\log_3(n_u)$, where n_u is the number of solutions $y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ of the following equation

$$u^{e^2}y^{e^2}+uy^e-y = 0. \tag{26}$$

The following lemma is proved in [36]. A direct proof discussing the number of solutions n_u of (26) can also be given in a straightforward way.

Lemma 18. *The rank r_{Q_u} of the quadratic form Q_u is m, or $m-h$, or $m-2h$.*

We will need the following lemma later.

Lemma 19. *The quadratic form $Q_1(y)$ over $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ has rank $m = 3h$.*

Proof: It suffices to prove that the equation

$$y^{e^2}+y^e-y = 0$$

has the only solution $y=0$ in $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$. Raising both sides of this equation to the power of e, we obtain

$$y+y^{e^2}-y^e=0.$$

Adding the two equations above yields $y^{e^2}=0$. Hence, $y=0$. This completes the proof. ■

We shall employ the following lemma whose proof is straightforward and may be found in [36].

Lemma 20. *The rank of the quadratic form* $\mathrm{Tr}(bx^{e+1})$ *is* m *for all* $b\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$.

The next lemma will play an important role in determining the weight distribution of the code of Theorem 16.

Lemma 21. *For any* $u\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$, *at least one of the two quadratic forms* $Q_u(y)$ *and* $Q_{-1-u}(y)$ *over* $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ *has rank* m, *where* $m=3h$ *and* h *is odd.*

Proof: Suppose on the contrary that both $Q_u(y)$ and $Q_{-1-u}(y)$ have rank less than m for some $u\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$. Then there would exist $y_1\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$ and $y_2\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u^{e^2}y_1^{e^2}+uy_1^e-y_1=0, \\ (1+u)^{e^2}y_2^{e^2}+(1+u)y_2^e+y_2=0, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where $e=3^h$.

Note that $y^{e^3}=y$ for all $y\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$. Raising the first equation of (27) to the power of e^0, e^1 and e^2 yields the following system of three equations

$$\begin{cases} u^{e^2}y_1^{e^2}+uy_1^e-y_1 &=& 0, \\ uy_1+u^ey_1^{e^2}-y_1^e &=& 0, \\ u^ey_1^e+u^{e^2}y_1-y_1^{e^2} &=& 0. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

Solving (28) gives

$$u=y_1^{2e^2-e-1}-y_1^{e-1}-y_1^{1-e}. \tag{29}$$

Using the second equation of (27) in a similar way, we obtain

$$u=-y_2^{2e^2-e-1}+y_2^{e-1}+y_2^{1-e}-1. \tag{30}$$

We now define

$$P(x)=x^{2e^2-e-1}-x^{e-1}-x^{1-e}-1\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)[x].$$

Combining (29) and (30), we arrive at

$$P(y_1)=-P(y_2). \tag{31}$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$P(x)=\frac{(x^{e^2}+x^e-x)^{e+1}}{x^{e+1}}.$$

In addition, we have $x^{e^2}+x^e-x\neq 0$ for all $x\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$. It follows that $P(x)$ is a nonzero square in $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ for every $x\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$. Since m is odd, -1 is a nonsquare in $\mathrm{GF}(3^m)$. Hence, the equality of (31) cannot be possible. This contradiction proves the desired conclusion of this lemma. ■

In order to prove Theorem 16, we have to do more preparations. We now define for each $a\in\mathrm{GF}(3)$ and each $b\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$,

$$N_{(b,a)}=|\{x\in\mathrm{GF}(3^m):\mathrm{Tr}(x+x^\ell)=0 \text{ and } \mathrm{Tr}(bx)=a\}|.$$

One can easily prove that

$$|D\cup(-D)| = 3^{m-1}-1.$$

It then follows that

$$N_{(b,1)} = N_{(b,2)} \text{ and } N_{(b,0)}+N_{(b,1)}+N_{(b,2)} = 3^{m-1}. \tag{32}$$

To determine the weight distribution of the code of Theorem 16, we need to find out $N_{(b,0)}$ for each $b\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)$. Note that $\ell = e^2-e+1$ is odd and $\gcd(3^m-1, e+1) = 2$. We know that $N_{(b,0)}$ is equal to the number of solutions $x\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ of the following set of equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}(y^{e+1}+y^2) = 0, \\ \mathrm{Tr}(by^{e+1}) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

We are now ready to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 22. *For each* $b\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$, $N_{(b,0)}$ *has the following three possible values:*

$$3^{m-2},\ 3^{m-2}\pm 2\times 3^{2(h-1)}.$$

Proof: The number of solutions $y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)$ of (33) is equal to $N_{(b,0)}$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(b,0)} &= \frac{1}{9}\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\left(\sum_{z_1\in \mathrm{GF}(3)}\varepsilon_3^{z_1\mathrm{Tr}(y^{e+1}+y^2)}\right)\left(\sum_{z_2\in \mathrm{GF}(3)}\varepsilon_3^{z_2\mathrm{Tr}(by^{e+1})}\right) \\ &= 3^{m-2}+\frac{1}{9}\left(\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{\mathrm{Tr}(y^{e+1}+y^2)}+\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{-\mathrm{Tr}(y^{e+1}+y^2)}\right)+ \\ &\quad \frac{1}{9}\left(\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{\mathrm{Tr}(by^{e+1})}+\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{-\mathrm{Tr}(by^{e+1})}\right)+ \\ &\quad \frac{1}{9}\left(\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{\mathrm{Tr}((1+b)y^{e+1}+y^2)}+\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{-\mathrm{Tr}((1+b)y^{e+1}+y^2)}\right)+ \\ &\quad \frac{1}{9}\left(\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{\mathrm{Tr}((1-b)y^{e+1}+y^2)}+\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{-\mathrm{Tr}((1-b)y^{e+1}+y^2)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By Lemmas 19 and 17, we have

$$\frac{1}{9}\left(\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{\mathrm{Tr}(y^{e+1}+y^2)}+\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{-\mathrm{Tr}(y^{e+1}+y^2)}\right) = 0$$

It follows from Lemmas 20 and 17 that

$$\frac{1}{9}\left(\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{\mathrm{Tr}(by^{e+1})}+\sum_{y\in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)}\varepsilon_3^{-\mathrm{Tr}(by^{e+1})}\right) = 0.$$

Combining Lemmas 21 and 17, we know that at least one of the last two sums of the form $\frac{1}{9}(\ldots+\ldots)$ in (34) is equal to 0.

The desired conclusion then follows from (34) and Lemma 17. This completes the proof. ■

The following lemma follows from Lemma 22 and (32).

Lemma 23. *The triple* $(N_{(b,0)}, N_{(b,1)}, N_{(b,2)})$ *takes on only the following three possible values:*

$$\begin{array}{l}(3^{m-2},\ 3^{m-2},\ 3^{m-2}),\\ (3^{m-2}+2\times 3^{2(h-1)},\ 3^{m-2}-3^{2(h-1)},\ 3^{m-2}-3^{2(h-1)}),\\ (3^{m-2}-2\times 3^{2(h-1)},\ 3^{m-2}+3^{2(h-1)},\ 3^{m-2}+3^{2(h-1)}).\end{array}$$

The next lemma follows from Lemma 23 and the definition of $N_{(b,a)}$.

Lemma 24. *For any* $b \in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$, $\chi_1(bD_0)$ *takes on only one of the three values:*

$$-1,\ 3^{2h-1}-1,\ -3^{2h-1}-1,$$

where $D_0 = D \cup (-D)$.

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 16.

Proof of Theorem 16:

For $x \in \mathrm{GF}(3^m)^*$, it follows from (5) and Lemma 24 that the codeword $\mathbf{c}_x$ in (4) has the following three weights:

$$w_1 := 3^{3h-2}+3^{2h-2},\ w_2 := 3^{3h-2},\ w_3 := 3^{3h-2}-3^{2h-2}.$$

It is easy to prove that the dual code $\mathcal{C}_D^{\perp}$ has minimum weight at least 3. We now determine the number A_{w_i} of codewords with weight w_i in $\mathcal{C}_D$. The first three Pless Power Moments [31, p.260] lead to the following system of equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} A_{w_1}+A_{w_2}+A_{w_3} & = & 3^{3h}-1, \\ w_1A_{w_1}+w_2A_{w_2}+w_3A_{w_3} & = & 3^{3h-1}(3^{3h-1}-1), \\ w_1^2A_{w_1}+w_2^2A_{w_2}+w_3^2A_{w_3} & = & 3^{6h-3}(3^{3h-1}-1). \end{array}\right. \tag{35}$$

Solving this set of three equations proves the weight distribution in Table VI.

Example 8. *Let* $h=1$. *Then the code* $\mathcal{C}_D$ *of Theorem 15 is a* $[4,3,2]$ *ternary code with weight enumerator* $1+12z^2+8z^3+6z^4$ *according to Magma, which confirms the result of Theorem 16.*

Example 9. *Let* $h=3$. *Then the code* $\mathcal{C}_D$ *of Theorem 15 is a* $[3280,9,2106]$ *ternary code with weight enumerator* $1+756z^{2106}+18224z^{2187}+702z^{2268}$ *according to Magma, which confirms the result of Theorem 16.*

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Although the idea of constructing linear codes in this paper is simple, one-weight codes, two-weight codes, and three-weight codes are constructed with those 2-designs. The codes are interesting, as one-weight codes, two-weight codes and three-weight codes have applications in secret sharing [1] and authentication codes [21]. There is a survey on two-weight codes [6]. Some interesting two-weight and three-weight codes were presented in [5], [15], [13], [25], [32], [33], [49], and [52].

There are many other types of difference sets in $(\mathrm{GF}(p^m), +)$ and $(\mathrm{GF}(p^m)^*, \times)$ [18]. which give automatically linear codes within the framework of the construction of this paper. But it may be difficult to determine the parameters of these codes. The reader is cordially invited to attack this problem.

Cyclic difference sets were employed to construct constant-weight codes in [34]. A cyclic code approach to bent functions over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is given in [48]. Almost perfect nonlinear functions and almost bent functions are employed to construct linear codes in [10]. Kerdock codes are also related to bent functions [9]. Some three-weight binary codes are also presented in [37][Theorems 33 and 34]. A related construction of linear codes is presented in [41]. In some of these references, a linear code over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ is constructed with a highly nonlinear function f from $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ to $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ and is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(f) = \{\mathbf{c} = (\mathrm{Tr}(af(x)+bx)_{x\in \mathrm{GF}(q)^*} : a \in \mathrm{GF}(q),\ b \in \mathrm{GF}(q)\}.$$

Its length is $q-1$, and its dimension is usually $2m$. The dual of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ has usually dimension $q-1-2m$. This approach gives a coding-theory characterisation of APN monomials, almost bent functions, and semibent functions (see, for examples, [10], [7] and [30]). Theorem 9 of this papers gives automatically another coding-theory characterisation of APN monomials, almost bent functions, and semibent functions, though it looks simple and easy to derive.

The construction of linear codes with 2-designs in this paper is different from all these constructions due to the difference in the dimension of the codes. The codes dealt with in this paper have dimension usually m, and length n, which is smaller than $q-1$ and may not divide $q-1$.

It is obvious that the construction of linear codes of this paper is different from the classical one employing the incidence matrix of a design due to the difference in the length of the codes.

Any linear code over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ can be employed to construct secret sharing schemes [1], [11], [51]. In order to obtain secret sharing schemes with interesting access structures, we would like to have linear codes $\mathcal{C}$ such that $w_{\min}/w_{\max} > \frac{p-1}{p}$ [51], where $w_{\min}$ and $w_{\max}$ denote the minimum and maximum nonzero weight of the linear code.

The one-weight code of Theorem 4 can be employed to construct secret sharing schemes using the approach of [1]. For the two-weight and three-weight codes over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ obtained in this paper, we have $\frac{w_{\min}}{w_{\max}} > \frac{p-1}{p}$, provided that m is large enough. Therefore, almost all the codes of this paper can be employed to construct secret sharing schemes with certain interesting access structures [51].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the reviewers and the Associate Editor, Dr. Sihem Mesnager, for their constructive comments that much improved the presentation and quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Anderson, C. Ding, T. Helleseth and T. Klove, "How to build robust shared control systems," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp 111–124, Nov. 1998.

[2] E. F. Assmus and J. D. Key, *Designs and Their Codes, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Vol. 103, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[3] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha and S. Mesnager, "Further results on Niho bent functions," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 6979–6985, 2012.

[4] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, and A. Pott, "New classes of almost bent and almost perfect nonlinear polynomials, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 11411152, March 2006.

[5] A. R. Calderbank and J. M. Goethals, "Three-weight codes and association schemes," *Philips J. Res.*, vol. 39, pp. 143–152, 1984.

[6] A. R. Calderbank and W. M. Kantor, "The geometry of two-weight codes," *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, vol. 18, pp. 97–122, 1986.

[7] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin and H. Dobbertin, "Weight divisibility of cyclic codes, highly nonlinear functions on F_{2^m}, and crosscorrelation of maximum-length sequences" *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 105–138, 2000.

[8] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin and G. M. Kyureghyan, "A new class of monomial bent functions," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 14, pp. 221–241, 2008.

[9] C. Carlet, "Boolean functions for cryptography and error-correcting codes," in: Y. Crama and P. Hammer (Eds.), *Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering*, pp. 257–397, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[10] C. Carlet, P. Charpin and V. Zinoviev, "Codes, bent functions and permutations for DES-like cryptosystems," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 15, pp. 125–156, 1998.

[11] C. Carlet, C. Ding, and J. Yuan, Linear codes from perfect nonlinear mappings and their secret sharing schemes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2089–2102, June 2005.

[12] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, "On semibent functions," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 3287–3292, 2012.

[13] S.-T. Choi, J.-Y. Kim, J.-S. No, H. Chung, Weight distribution of some cyclic codes, in: Proc. of the 2012 International Symposium on Information Theory, IEEE Press, 2012, pp. 2911–2913.

[14] G. Cohen and S. Mesnager, "On constructions of semi-bent functions from bent functions," *J. Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 625, Discrete Geometry and Algebraic Combinatorics, American Mathematical Society, pp. 141–154, 2014.

[15] B. Courteau and J. Wolfmann, "On triple-sum-sets and two or three weight codes," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 50, pp. 179–189, 1884.

[16] J. F. Dillon and H. Dobbertin, "New cyclic difference sets with Singer parameters," *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 10, pp. 342–389, 2004.

[17] U. Dempwolf and T. Neumann, “Geometric and design-theoretic aspects of semi-bent functions I,” *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 57, pp. 373–381, 2010.
[18] C. Ding, *Codes from Difference Sets,* Singapore: World Scientific, 2014.
[19] C. Ding, J. Luo and H. Niederreiter, “Two weight codes punctured from irreducible cyclic codes,” in: Y. Li, S. Ling, H. Niederreiter, H. Wang, C. Xing, S. Zhang (Eds.), *Proc. of the First International Workshop on Coding Theory and Cryptography*, pp. 119 124. Singapore, World Scientific, 2008.
[20] C. Ding and H. Niederreiter, “Cyclotomic linear codes of order 3”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 2274–2277, 2007.
[21] C. Ding and X. Wang, “A coding theory construction of new systematic authentication codes,” *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 330, pp. 81–99, 2005.
[22] C. Ding, Z. Wang, and Q. Xiang, “Skew Hadamard difference sets from the Ree-Tits slice symplectic spreads in $\mathrm{PG}(3,3^{2h+1})$,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 114, no. 5, pp. 867–887, 2007.
[23] C. Ding and J. Yuan, “A family of skew Hadamard difference sets,” *J. Comb. Theory Ser A*, vol. 113, pp. 1526–1535, 2006.
[24] D. Dong, L. Qu, S. Fu and C. Li, “New constructions of semi-bent functions in polynomial forms,” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 57, nos. 5-6, pp. 1139–1147, 2013.
[25] K. Feng, J. Luo, “Value distribution of exponential sums from perfect nonlinear functions and their applications,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 53, pp. 3035–3041, 2007.
[26] K. Feng and J. Luo, Weight distribution of some reducible cycliccodes, *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 390–409, 2008.
[27] T. Feng and Q. Xiang, “Cyclotomic constructions of skew Hadamard difference sets,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 119, pp. 245–256, 2012.
[28] B. Gordon, W. H. Mills, and L. R. Welch, “Some new difference sets,” *Cand. J. Math.* vol. 14, pp. 614–625, 1962.
[29] T. Helleseth, P. V. Kumar and H. M. Martinsen, “A new family of ternary sequences with ideal two-level autocorrelation,” *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 23, pp. 157–166, 2001.
[30] H. D. L. Hollmann and Q. Xiang, “A proof of the Welch and Niho conjectures on cross-correlations of binary m-sequences,” *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 7, pp. 253–286, 2001.
[31] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
[32] C. Li, Q. Yue and F. Li, “Weight distributions of cyclic codes with respect to pairwise coprime order elements,” *Finite Fields and Their Applications,* vol. 28, pp. 94–114, 2014.
[33] C. Li, Q. Yue and F. Li, “Hamming weights of the duals of cyclic codes with two zeros,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 3895–3902, 2014.
[34] N. Li, X. Zeng and L. Hu, “Binary constant weight codes based on cyclic difference sets,” *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals,* vol. E91-A, no. 5, pp. 1288–1292, 2008.
[35] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields,* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
[36] J. Luo and K. Feng, “On the weight distributions of two classes of cyclic codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 5332–5344, 2008.
[37] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
[38] A. Maschietti, “Difference sets and hyperovals,” *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 14, pp. 89–98, 1998.
[39] S. Mesnager, “Semibent functions from Dillon and Niho exponents, Kloosterman sums, and Dickson polynomials,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 7443–7458, Nov. 2011.
[40] S. Mesnager, “Semi-bent functions from oval polynomials,” In: *Coding and Cryptography*, M. Stam, Ed., LNCS 8308, pp. 1–15, Springer-Verlag, 2013.
[41] S. Mesnager, “Bent vectorial functions and linear codes from o-polynomials”. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, to appear.
[42] S. Mesnager, “On semi-bent functions and related plateaued functions over the Galois field F_{2^n}”, in: Proceedings of *Open Problems in Mathematics and Computational Science*, LNCS, Springer, pp. 243–273, 2014.
[43] S. Mesnager, “Several new infinite families of bent functions and their duals,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 4397–4407, 2014.
[44] S. Mesnager, “Bent functions from spreads,” *J. of the American Mathematical Society*, Contemporary Mathematics (Proceedings the 11th International conference on Finite Fields and their Applications Fq11), Volume 632. To appear.
[45] K. Momihara, “Inequivalence of skew Hadamard difference sets and triple intersection numbers modulo a prime,” *Electronic J. of Combinatorics*, vol. 20, 4, P35, 2013.
[46] O. S. Rothaus, “On bent functions,” *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 20, pp. 300–305, 1976.
[47] G. Weng, W. Qiu, Z. Wang, and Q. Xiang, “Pseudo-Paley graphs and skew Hadamard difference sets from presemifields,” *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 44, pp. 49–62, 2007.
[48] J. Wolfmann, “A cyclic code approach of bent functions over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4$,” in: *Boolean Functions in Cryptography and Information Security*, B. Preneel and O. A. Logachev, Eds., pp. 232–242, IOS Press, 2008.
[49] Y. Xia, X. Zeng, and L. Hu, “Further crosscorrelation properties of sequences with the decimation factor $d=(p^n+1)/(p+1)+(p^n-1)/2$,” *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, vol. 21, pp. 329–342, 2010.
[50] Q. Xiang, “On balanced binary sequences with two-level autocorrelation functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 3153–3156, Nov. 1998.
[51] J. Yuan and C. Ding, “Secret sharing schemes from three classes of linear codes,” *IEEE Trans.Inform. Theory,* vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 206–212, 2006.
[52] Z. Zhou and C. Ding, “A class of three-weight codes,” *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 25, pp. 79–93, Jan. 2014.